## **WSIRB Review Worksheet**

Reviewer:	Review Date:			-
Project Title:	Project#:			_ Investigator:
DUDDOCE OF THE CTUDY/CCIENTIFIC MEDI	T.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<b>Review Comments</b>
PURPOSE OF THE STUDY/SCIENTIFIC MERITA Are the purposes, objectives, and hypotheses of the r				
Is adequate background, rationale, and relevance for provided? (Including literature review.)	the project			
Is the methodology appropriate in light of the stated and hypotheses?	purposes, objectives,			
Is the sample size adequate for the proposed study de	esign?			
Is it clear how the study data will be analyzed?				
Will the analysis produce results that are logically re- objectives and hypotheses?	lated to study purposes,			
Considering the complexity of the project, does it applies qualified to conduct this research independently? If no, are there provisions for appropriate consultation				
Does the investigator have a potential conflict of inte	erest?			
STUDY POPULATION:	1 1 111			
Is it clear who will be enrolled as research subjects o used in the research?	r whose records will be			
Is the subject selection appropriate for the study obje	ectives?			

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<b>Review Comments</b>
Is adequate justification provided for subject inclusion/exclusion criteria? (e.g. gender, race-ethnicity, age, language, clinical status)			
Does the study involve vulnerable groups? (pregnant women, fetuses, children, decisionally impaired, prisoners, institutionalized, socially or economically disadvantaged)			
If children and/or prisoners are included, please complete additional checklists.			
SUBJECT RECRUITMENT  Does the researcher request access to individually-identifiable records for sampling participants?			
Is the researcher requesting access to records without prior consent? (If yes, please refer to consent waiver matrix on page 6.)			
Is the first contact with potential subjects made by an appropriate individual or agency? (The researcher generally should <b>not</b> make first contact with potential subjects.)			
Is the setting for recruitment (location and timing) appropriate?			
Were all recruitment materials submitted? (posters/flyers; brochures; contact letters; telephone scripts; TV, radio, newspaper ads; press releases; internet postings)			
Are the recruitment materials acceptable as submitted? (Please mark all corrections, additions and comments on recruitment materials and turn them in with this worksheet.)			
INFORMED CONSENT:  Does the researcher request to alter or waive some or all of the informed consent requirements, per 45 CFR 46.116?  If yes, please refer to the waiver of consent matrix on page 6.			

2

9/17/02

	<b>Yes</b>	<u>No</u>	<b>Review Comments</b>
Does the researcher request a waiver of documentation of signed consent? If yes, please refer to the waiver of consent matrix on page 6.			
Does the researcher request a waiver of parental consent? If yes, please refer to waiver of consent matrix on page 6.			
Is the setting (location and timing) for the consent process appropriate?			
Should there be a subject advocate or consent witness to ensure that subjects understand research participation and make decisions voluntarily?			
Does the research involve subjects who may have diminished capacity? If yes, does the researcher explain how he/she will assess whether subjects have sufficient capacity to provide informed consent?			
If a potential subject is not capable of providing informed consent, will proxy consent be sought from a legal guardian?			
Does the research involve subjects living in an institutional setting that could involve coercive elements?  If so, are there adequate measures to ensure that decisions regarding research			
participation are voluntary?			
Is an assent form needed? (ages 12-17)			
Is an assent statement/oral consent needed? (ages 7-11)			
Consent documents Were <i>all</i> consent documents, assent forms, consent scripts submitted? If not, what is missing?			
Do the consent form(s)/script(s) contain the following elements:  • Investigator's name, affiliation, address, and telephone number.  • A clear statement that the project involves research			

3

9/17/02

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Review Comments
• An adequate explanation of the purpose of the research.			
<ul> <li>A description of any benefits to individual subjects or others which may be reasonably expected from the research.</li> <li>A description of the study population and number of participants.</li> <li>A description of how and why subjects were sampled for participation.</li> <li>A description of all research procedures.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>A description of the time frame and sequence of activities involved with research participation.</li> <li>A description of any alternative procedures or treatments.</li> <li>A description of any reasonably foreseeable risks, stresses or</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>discomforts.</li> <li>A description of procedures and safeguards to minimize risks.</li> <li>An explanation of the use of any individually-identifiable records.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>An explanation of who will have access to identified research records.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>A description of methods to protect confidentiality of research records and timeline for destruction of research records.</li> <li>Examples of the most sensitive questions on study instruments.</li> <li>An explanation of compensation for research participation, if any.</li> <li>statement indicating that participation is voluntary and there is</li> </ul>			
no penalty or loss of benefits for skipping questions, not participating, or withdrawing from the study.  • Name and phone number for person(s) to contact regarding			
questions about the study.			
<ul> <li>Name and phone number for person(s) to contact regarding questions about the rights of research subjects.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Appropriate reading level and font size for the intended study population.</li> <li>List of parties who will receive the consent form.</li> <li>Translation into appropriate language(s).</li> </ul>			
(Please mark corrections, additions and comments on <i>each</i> consent document			

and turn them in with this worksheet.)

	<b>Yes</b>	<u>No</u>	<b>Review Comments</b>
STUDY INSTRUMENTS: Were all study instruments including questionnaires, assessments, interview scripts submitted?			
Are the study instruments appropriate for the purposes of the research?			
Do the study instruments have demonstrated validity and reliability? If not, have they been pilot tested?			
OTHER: Are there adequate provisions and safeguards to protect the privacy of participants and the confidentiality of research records?			
Does the investigator plan to obtain a Certificate of Confidentiality?			
Are subjects provided compensation for research participation? If yes, is the compensation appropriate for the procedures and time involved?			
Is the application complete and are forms signed as required?  If not, please indicate what is missing.			

## **Waiver of Consent Matrix**

	No waiver or alteration of consent requirements is requested.						
Indic	cate TYPE of waiver(s) or alteration(s) requested:	Indica	ate PURI	POSE of	`waiver (	or altero	ition:
	Waiver or alteration of consent requirements may be approved provided the		ntact	Re	ecord	Stı	udy
	following conditions are met, per 45 CFR 46.116.	Infor	mation	Re	view	Partic	ipation
	Waiver of informed consent:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>		
	• The research could not be practicably carried out without the waiver;						
			H		H		
	• The research involves no more than minimal risk;	$\mid \; \mid \; \mid \; \mid \; \mid$	H		H		
	• The waiver will not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the subjects;		Ш				
	Whenever appropriate, the subjects will be provided with additional pertinent information after participation.						
	Alteration of some elements of consent requirements:					<b>Yes</b>	<u>No</u>
	• The research could not be practicably carried out without the waiver;						
	<ul> <li>The research involves no more than minimal risk;</li> </ul>						
	• The waiver will not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the subjects;						
	Whenever appropriate, the subjects will be provided with additional				_		_
	pertinent information after participation.						
	Waiver of documentation of signed informed consent:						
	The only record linking the subject and the research would be the consent						
	document, and the principal risk would be potential harm resulting from a						
	breach of confidentiality.						
	<u>or</u>						
	The research involves no more than minimal risk, and involves no						
	procedures, for which written consent is normally required outside of the						
	research context.						
	Waiver of parental consent:						
	Parental or guardian permission is not a reasonable requirement to protect						_
	subjects.		Ш	$  \; \bigsqcup \;$			Ш
	<ul> <li>An appropriate mechanism is provided to protect subjects.</li> </ul>			$  \sqcup  $			

RISK/BENEFIT ANALYSIS:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Review Comments
Risks Are there physical or medical risks related to study participation?  Not more than minimal risk?  Greater than minimal risk?			
Are there psychological or emotional risks related to study participation? Not more than minimal risk?   Greater than minimal risk?			
Are there social, economic, or legal risks related to study participation? Not more than minimal risk?   Greater than minimal risk?			
Are there other risks related to study participation?  Not more than minimal risk?   Greater than minimal risk?			
Are there adequate safeguards to reduce risks and protect the rights and welfare of participants?  If not, what additional safeguards should be implemented?			
Benefits Are there direct benefits to individual research participants?			
Are there benefits to the <u>class</u> of subjects recruited for research?			
Is there potential for gain in practical knowledge relevant to solution of societal problem?			
Is there potential for gain in basic scientific knowledge?			
Does the project provide professional training for a student?			
Analysis Are the risks to subjects reasonable in relation to the anticipated benefits to the subjects and/or society?			
If not, are there ways to increase benefits and/or reduce risks to improve the risk/benefit ratio?			9

9/17/02

DISPOSITION DECOMMEND ATION	Yes No	<b>Review Comments</b>
DISPOSITION RECOMMENDATION: Approve the project as submitted?		
Approve project conditionally? List approval conditions:		
Hold project in abeyance? List issues:		
Disapprove project?		